

Coarse geometry of Polish groups

Lecture 2

Christian Rosendal,
University of Illinois at Chicago

Polish groups and geometry, Paris, June 2016

Success criteria

The definition of the coarse structure is a natural dual of the left-uniform structure. Moreover, in several important cases it is the same coarse structure as that identified via other means.

Success criteria

The definition of the coarse structure is a natural dual of the left-uniform structure. Moreover, in several important cases it is the same coarse structure as that identified via other means.

But what is its utility?

Success criteria

The definition of the coarse structure is a natural dual of the left-uniform structure. Moreover, in several important cases it is the same coarse structure as that identified via other means.

But what is its utility?

The ultimate aim is to

- provide a geometric picture of topological groups as we have of say f.g. groups, Lie groups and Banach spaces,

Success criteria

The definition of the coarse structure is a natural dual of the left-uniform structure. Moreover, in several important cases it is the same coarse structure as that identified via other means.

But what is its utility?

The ultimate aim is to

- provide a geometric picture of topological groups as we have of say f.g. groups, Lie groups and Banach spaces,
- identify new computable isomorphic invariants of topological groups,

The definition of the coarse structure is a natural dual of the left-uniform structure. Moreover, in several important cases it is the same coarse structure as that identified via other means.

But what is its utility?

The ultimate aim is to

- provide a geometric picture of topological groups as we have of say f.g. groups, Lie groups and Banach spaces,
- identify new computable isomorphic invariants of topological groups,
- show how these invariants impact other harmonic analytic features of the groups.

A universal group

As opposed to the class of finitely generated groups, there are several **universal** Polish groups, i.e., groups \mathbb{G} so that every Polish group can be viewed as a closed subgroup of \mathbb{G} .

A universal group

As opposed to the class of finitely generated groups, there are several **universal** Polish groups, i.e., groups \mathbb{G} so that every Polish group can be viewed as a closed subgroup of \mathbb{G} .

For example, the homeomorphism group of the Hilbert cube and the isometry group of the Urysohn metric space

$$\text{Homeo}([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \quad \text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$$

are universal (V. V. Uspenskii).

A universal group

As opposed to the class of finitely generated groups, there are several **universal** Polish groups, i.e., groups \mathbb{G} so that every Polish group can be viewed as a closed subgroup of \mathbb{G} .

For example, the homeomorphism group of the Hilbert cube and the isometry group of the Urysohn metric space

$$\text{Homeo}([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \quad \text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$$

are universal (V. V. Uspenskii).

Now, note that if H is a (closed) subgroup of \mathbb{G} , then the left-uniform structure of H is the **restriction** of the left-uniform structure from \mathbb{G} .

A universal group

As opposed to the class of finitely generated groups, there are several **universal** Polish groups, i.e., groups \mathbb{G} so that every Polish group can be viewed as a closed subgroup of \mathbb{G} .

For example, the homeomorphism group of the Hilbert cube and the isometry group of the Urysohn metric space

$$\text{Homeo}([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \quad \text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$$

are universal (V. V. Uspenskii).

Now, note that if H is a (closed) subgroup of \mathbb{G} , then the left-uniform structure of H is the **restriction** of the left-uniform structure from \mathbb{G} .

To see this, suppose that d is a compatible left-invariant metric on \mathbb{G} and hence a compatible metric for the left-uniformity on \mathbb{G} .

A universal group

As opposed to the class of finitely generated groups, there are several **universal** Polish groups, i.e., groups \mathbb{G} so that every Polish group can be viewed as a closed subgroup of \mathbb{G} .

For example, the homeomorphism group of the Hilbert cube and the isometry group of the Urysohn metric space

$$\text{Homeo}([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \quad \text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$$

are universal (V. V. Uspenskii).

Now, note that if H is a (closed) subgroup of \mathbb{G} , then the left-uniform structure of H is the **restriction** of the left-uniform structure from \mathbb{G} .

To see this, suppose that d is a compatible left-invariant metric on \mathbb{G} and hence a compatible metric for the left-uniformity on \mathbb{G} .

Then the restriction $d|_H$ is also a compatible left-invariant metric on H and thus a compatible metric for the left-uniformity on H .

So a group embedding $H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$, which is also a homeomorphic embedding, is automatically a **left-uniform** embedding.

So a group embedding $H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$, which is also a homeomorphic embedding, is automatically a **left-uniform** embedding.

Definition

A closed subgroup H of a Polish group G is said to be **coarsely embedded** if the inclusion map

$$H \hookrightarrow G$$

is a coarse embedding.

So a group embedding $H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}$, which is also a homeomorphic embedding, is automatically a **left-uniform** embedding.

Definition

A closed subgroup H of a Polish group G is said to be **coarsely embedded** if the inclusion map

$$H \hookrightarrow G$$

is a coarse embedding.

In other words, H is coarsely embedded if the left-coarse structure on H is the restriction of the left-coarse structure on G to H .

Now, as is easy to see, a continuous homomorphism

$$\pi: H \rightarrow G$$

between topological groups is automatically bornologous, but not necessarily a coarse embedding.

Now, as is easy to see, a continuous homomorphism

$$\pi: H \rightarrow G$$

between topological groups is automatically bornologous, but not necessarily a coarse embedding.

So a closed subgroup H of G is coarsely embedded exactly when the following holds for all subsets $A \subseteq H$:

A is coarsely bounded in $G \Rightarrow A$ is coarsely bounded in H .

Now, as is easy to see, a continuous homomorphism

$$\pi: H \rightarrow G$$

between topological groups is automatically bornologous, but not necessarily a coarse embedding.

So a closed subgroup H of G is coarsely embedded exactly when the following holds for all subsets $A \subseteq H$:

A is coarsely bounded in $G \Rightarrow A$ is coarsely bounded in H .

Since coarsely bounded sets in a locally compact group G are the relatively compact sets, every closed subgroup of G is coarsely embedded.

Now, as is easy to see, a continuous homomorphism

$$\pi: H \rightarrow G$$

between topological groups is automatically bornologous, but not necessarily a coarse embedding.

So a closed subgroup H of G is coarsely embedded exactly when the following holds for all subsets $A \subseteq H$:

A is coarsely bounded in $G \Rightarrow A$ is coarsely bounded in H .

Since coarsely bounded sets in a locally compact group G are the relatively compact sets, every closed subgroup of G is coarsely embedded.

However, $\text{Homeo}([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ is coarsely equivalent to a point, so \mathbb{Z} can be seen as a **closed, but not coarsely embedded** subgroup of $\text{Homeo}([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}})$.

Using a construction due to M. Katětov also underlying Uspenskii's Theorem, we have a universal group in the coarse setting.

Using a construction due to M. Katětov also underlying Uspenskii's Theorem, we have a universal group in the coarse setting.

Theorem

Let H be a *locally bounded Polish group*. Then H is isomorphic to a coarsely embedded closed subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$.

Using a construction due to M. Katětov also underlying Uspenskii's Theorem, we have a universal group in the coarse setting.

Theorem

Let H be a *locally bounded Polish group*. Then H is isomorphic to a coarsely embedded closed subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$.

To show this, fix a coarsely proper compatible left-invariant metric d on H .

Using a construction due to M. Katětov also underlying Uspenskii's Theorem, we have a universal group in the coarse setting.

Theorem

Let H be a *locally bounded Polish group*. Then H is isomorphic to a coarsely embedded closed subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$.

To show this, fix a coarsely proper compatible left-invariant metric d on H .

Then (H, d) embeds isometrically into \mathbb{U} in such a way that every isometry of (H, d) extends functorially to an isometry of \mathbb{U} .

Using a construction due to M. Katětov also underlying Uspenskiĭ's Theorem, we have a universal group in the coarse setting.

Theorem

Let H be a *locally bounded* Polish group. Then H is isomorphic to a coarsely embedded closed subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$.

To show this, fix a coarsely proper compatible left-invariant metric d on H .

Then (H, d) embeds isometrically into \mathbb{U} in such a way that every isometry of (H, d) extends functorially to an isometry of \mathbb{U} .

So the left-shift action $H \curvearrowright (H, d) \subseteq \mathbb{U}$ induces a group embedding

$$H \hookrightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathbb{U}),$$

where the orbital map

$$h \in H \mapsto h \cdot 1_H \in \mathbb{U}$$

is coarsely proper and thus a coarse embedding.

As the coarse structure on $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ is metrisable, so is the coarse structure of every coarsely embedded closed subgroup.

As the coarse structure on $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ is metrisable, so is the coarse structure of every coarsely embedded closed subgroup.

So coarsely embedded closed subgroups of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ are necessarily locally bounded.

As the coarse structure on $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ is metrisable, so is the coarse structure of every coarsely embedded closed subgroup.

So coarsely embedded closed subgroups of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$ are necessarily locally bounded.

Theorem

Let H be a Polish group. Then H is isomorphic to a coarsely embedded closed subgroup of $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$.

Locally Roelcke precompact groups

In a locally compact group, the coarse structure is explicitly described in terms of the topology, while this is not so in a general Polish group.

Locally Roelcke precompact groups

In a locally compact group, the coarse structure is explicitly described in terms of the topology, while this is not so in a general Polish group.

Let d be a compatible **left-invariant** metric on a Polish group G and set

$$d_{\wedge}(g, f) = \inf_{h \in G} d(g, h) + d(h^{-1}, f^{-1}).$$

Locally Roelcke precompact groups

In a locally compact group, the coarse structure is explicitly described in terms of the topology, while this is not so in a general Polish group.

Let d be a compatible **left-invariant** metric on a Polish group G and set

$$d_{\wedge}(g, f) = \inf_{h \in G} d(g, h) + d(h^{-1}, f^{-1}).$$

The **Roelcke uniformity** on G is the one induced by the metric d_{\wedge} .

Locally Roelcke precompact groups

In a locally compact group, the coarse structure is explicitly described in terms of the topology, while this is not so in a general Polish group.

Let d be a compatible **left-invariant** metric on a Polish group G and set

$$d_{\wedge}(g, f) = \inf_{h \in G} d(g, h) + d(h^{-1}, f^{-1}).$$

The **Roelcke uniformity** on G is the one induced by the metric d_{\wedge} .

A subset $A \subseteq G$ is **Roelcke precompact** if $\overline{(A, d_{\wedge})}$ is compact.

Locally Roelcke precompact groups

In a locally compact group, the coarse structure is explicitly described in terms of the topology, while this is not so in a general Polish group.

Let d be a compatible **left-invariant** metric on a Polish group G and set

$$d_{\wedge}(g, f) = \inf_{h \in G} d(g, h) + d(h^{-1}, f^{-1}).$$

The **Roelcke uniformity** on G is the one induced by the metric d_{\wedge} .

A subset $A \subseteq G$ is **Roelcke precompact** if $\overline{(A, d_{\wedge})}$ is compact.

Lemma

A is Roelcke precompact if and only if, for every identity neighbourhood V , there is a finite set $F \subseteq G$ with $A \subseteq VFV$.

From the diagram

Roelcke precompact:

$$A \subseteq VFV$$

Coarsely bounded:

$$A \subseteq (FV)^k$$

we see that Roelcke precompact sets are automatically coarsely bounded.

From the diagram

Roelcke precompact:

$$A \subseteq VFV$$

Coarsely bounded:

$$A \subseteq (FV)^k$$

we see that Roelcke precompact sets are automatically coarsely bounded.

Definition

A Polish group is *locally Roelcke precompact* if it has a Roelcke precompact identity neighbourhood.

From the diagram

Roelcke precompact:

$$A \subseteq VFV$$

Coarsely bounded:

$$A \subseteq (FV)^k$$

we see that Roelcke precompact sets are automatically coarsely bounded.

Definition

A Polish group is *locally Roelcke precompact* if it has a Roelcke precompact identity neighbourhood.

Examples

- *Locally compact groups,*
- $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{U})$,
- *Automorphisms groups of metrically homogeneous graphs, e.g.,*
 $\text{Aut}(T_\infty)$ and $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{U})$,
- *all terms of* $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

The main result on locally Roelcke precompact groups is

Theorem (J. Zielinski)

The following are equivalent for a Polish group G .

The main result on locally Roelcke precompact groups is

Theorem (J. Zielinski)

The following are equivalent for a Polish group G .

- 1 G is locally Roelcke precompact,

The main result on locally Roelcke precompact groups is

Theorem (J. Zielinski)

The following are equivalent for a Polish group G .

- 1 G is locally Roelcke precompact,
- 2 G is locally bounded and the ideal of Roelcke precompact sets is closed under products,

The main result on locally Roelcke precompact groups is

Theorem (J. Zielinski)

The following are equivalent for a Polish group G .

- 1 G is locally Roelcke precompact,
- 2 G is locally bounded and the ideal of Roelcke precompact sets is closed under products,
- 3 the Roelcke completion $\widehat{G} = \overline{(G, d_\wedge)}$ is locally compact.

The main result on locally Roelcke precompact groups is

Theorem (J. Zielinski)

The following are equivalent for a Polish group G .

- 1 G is locally Roelcke precompact,
- 2 G is locally bounded and the ideal of Roelcke precompact sets is closed under products,
- 3 the Roelcke completion $\widehat{G} = \overline{(G, d_\wedge)}$ is locally compact.

As a consequence, one can see that, in these groups,

Coarsely bounded = Roelcke precompact.

The main result on locally Roelcke precompact groups is

Theorem (J. Zielinski)

The following are equivalent for a Polish group G .

- 1 G is locally Roelcke precompact,
- 2 G is locally bounded and the ideal of Roelcke precompact sets is closed under products,
- 3 the Roelcke completion $\widehat{G} = \overline{(G, d_\wedge)}$ is locally compact.

As a consequence, one can see that, in these groups,

Coarsely bounded = Roelcke precompact.

Therefore, the coarse structure is witnessed topologically in the ambient locally compact space \widehat{G} .

Homeomorphism groups

The homeomorphism group $\text{Homeo}(M)$ of a compact manifold is equipped with the **compact-open** topology, i.e., given by subbasic open sets of the form

$$O_{K,U} = \{h \in \text{Homeo}(M) \mid h[K] \subseteq U\},$$

where K is compact and U open.

Homeomorphism groups

The homeomorphism group $\text{Homeo}(M)$ of a compact manifold is equipped with the **compact-open** topology, i.e., given by subbasic open sets of the form

$$O_{K,U} = \{h \in \text{Homeo}(M) \mid h[K] \subseteq U\},$$

where K is compact and U open.

This is the coarsest group topology on $\text{Homeo}(M)$ so that the tautological action

$$\text{Homeo}(M) \curvearrowright M$$

is continuous.

Homeomorphism groups

The homeomorphism group $\text{Homeo}(M)$ of a compact manifold is equipped with the **compact-open** topology, i.e., given by subbasic open sets of the form

$$O_{K,U} = \{h \in \text{Homeo}(M) \mid h[K] \subseteq U\},$$

where K is compact and U open.

This is the coarsest group topology on $\text{Homeo}(M)$ so that the tautological action

$$\text{Homeo}(M) \curvearrowright M$$

is continuous.

Alternatively, if d is a compatible metric on M , set

$$d_\infty(h, g) = \sup_{x \in M} d(h(x), g(x)).$$

Then d_∞ is a compatible *right-invariant* metric on $\text{Homeo}(M)$.

Fix an open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of M .

Fix an open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of M .

By fundamental work of Edwards and Kirby, there is an identity neighbourhood U in $\text{Homeo}(M)$ so that every element $h \in U$ can be written as $h = g_1 \cdots g_n$, where

$$\text{supp}(g_i) \subseteq V_i.$$

Fix an open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of M .

By fundamental work of Edwards and Kirby, there is an identity neighbourhood U in $\text{Homeo}(M)$ so that every element $h \in U$ can be written as $h = g_1 \cdots g_n$, where

$$\text{supp}(g_i) \subseteq V_i.$$

We may thus define the corresponding **fragmentation norm** on the identity component $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ of isotopically trivial homeomorphisms by letting

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \min(k \mid h = g_1 \cdots g_k \ \& \ \text{supp}(g_i) \subseteq V_{m_i} \text{ for some } m_i).$$

Fix an open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of M .

By fundamental work of Edwards and Kirby, there is an identity neighbourhood U in $\text{Homeo}(M)$ so that every element $h \in U$ can be written as $h = g_1 \cdots g_n$, where

$$\text{supp}(g_i) \subseteq V_i.$$

We may thus define the corresponding **fragmentation norm** on the identity component $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ of isotopically trivial homeomorphisms by letting

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \min(k \mid h = g_1 \cdots g_k \ \& \ \text{supp}(g_i) \subseteq V_{m_i} \text{ for some } m_i).$$

The fragmentation norm induces a left-invariant metric on $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ by

$$\rho_{\mathcal{V}}(g, f) = \|g^{-1}f\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Theorem (E. Militon)

If \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are sufficiently fine open covers of M , then $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{W}}$ are quasi-isometric.

Theorem (E. Militon)

If \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are sufficiently fine open covers of M , then $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{W}}$ are quasi-isometric.

Theorem (w/ K. Mann)

For all covers \mathcal{V} of a compact manifold M by embedded open balls, the fragmentation metric $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ metrises the coarse structure on $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Theorem (E. Militon)

If \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are sufficiently fine open covers of M , then $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{W}}$ are quasi-isometric.

Theorem (w/ K. Mann)

For all covers \mathcal{V} of a compact manifold M by embedded open balls, the fragmentation metric $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ metrises the coarse structure on $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Thus, the geometry of the transformation group structure

$$\text{Homeo}_0(M) \curvearrowright M$$

is intrinsic to the topological group $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Theorem (E. Militon)

If \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are sufficiently fine open covers of M , then $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{W}}$ are quasi-isometric.

Theorem (w/ K. Mann)

For all covers \mathcal{V} of a compact manifold M by embedded open balls, the fragmentation metric $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ metrises the coarse structure on $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Thus, the geometry of the transformation group structure

$$\text{Homeo}_0(M) \curvearrowright M$$

is intrinsic to the topological group $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

For compact surfaces M , E. Militon has been able to explicitly describe the fragmentation metric via maximal displacement on the universal cover \tilde{M} .

So with the fragmentation metric, this looks manageable perhaps?

So with the fragmentation metric, this looks manageable perhaps?

Theorem (w/ M. Culler)

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 .

*Then $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is **not** locally Roelcke precompact.*

So with the fragmentation metric, this looks manageable perhaps?

Theorem (w/ M. Culler)

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 .

*Then $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is **not** locally Roelcke precompact.*

However, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{Homeo}(S^n)$$

is coarsely bounded and thus coarsely equivalent to a one-point space.

So with the fragmentation metric, this looks manageable perhaps?

Theorem (w/ M. Culler)

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 .
Then $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is *not* locally Roelcke precompact.

However, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{Homeo}(S^n)$$

is coarsely bounded and thus coarsely equivalent to a one-point space.

Theorem (w/ K. Mann)

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 with infinite fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$.

So with the fragmentation metric, this looks manageable perhaps?

Theorem (w/ M. Culler)

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 .
Then $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is **not** locally Roelcke precompact.

However, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{Homeo}(S^n)$$

is coarsely bounded and thus coarsely equivalent to a one-point space.

Theorem (w/ K. Mann)

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 with infinite fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$. Then the Banach space $C([0, 1])$ is a **coarsely embedded** closed subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

So with the fragmentation metric, this looks manageable perhaps?

Theorem (w/ M. Culler)

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 .
Then $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is **not** locally Roelcke precompact.

However, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^n)$$

is coarsely bounded and thus coarsely equivalent to a one-point space.

Theorem (w/ K. Mann)

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 with infinite fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$. Then the Banach space $C([0, 1])$ is a **coarsely embedded** closed subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Therefore, by the metric universality of $C([0, 1])$, every separable metric space coarsely embeds into $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Question

If M is a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 with finite fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$, what is the coarse geometry type of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$?

Question

If M is a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 with finite fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$, what is the coarse geometry type of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$?

Though the preceding result leaves little hope for an informative and useful description of the coarse geometry type of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$, it does provide an **absolute** framework for various phenomena.

Question

If M is a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 with finite fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$, what is the coarse geometry type of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$?

Though the preceding result leaves little hope for an informative and useful description of the coarse geometry type of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$, it does provide an **absolute** framework for various phenomena.

In fact, with respect to a stronger **quasi-metric** structure defined on $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$, one may speak of **distorted** embeddings of finitely generated groups Γ into $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Question

If M is a compact manifold of dimension ≥ 2 with finite fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$, what is the coarse geometry type of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$?

Though the preceding result leaves little hope for an informative and useful description of the coarse geometry type of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$, it does provide an **absolute** framework for various phenomena.

In fact, with respect to a stronger **quasi-metric** structure defined on $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$, one may speak of **distorted** embeddings of finitely generated groups Γ into $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

This was previously done via ad hoc methods, by asking for an ambient f.g. group

$$\Gamma \leq \Delta \leq \text{Homeo}_0(M)$$

in which Γ is distorted.

Quasimorphisms and extensions

For a compact manifold M , it is natural to investigate $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ by considering lifts of homeomorphisms to the universal cover \tilde{M} .

Quasimorphisms and extensions

For a compact manifold M , it is natural to investigate $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ by considering lifts of homeomorphisms to the universal cover \tilde{M} .

Indeed, let $G \leq \text{Homeo}(\tilde{M})$ denote the closed group of lifts \tilde{g} of homeomorphisms $g \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Quasimorphisms and extensions

For a compact manifold M , it is natural to investigate $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ by considering lifts of homeomorphisms to the universal cover \tilde{M} .

Indeed, let $G \leq \text{Homeo}(\tilde{M})$ denote the closed group of lifts \tilde{g} of homeomorphisms $g \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Letting $\pi_1(M)$ act by deck transformations on \tilde{M} , we have a short exact sequence

$$\pi_1(M) \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Homeo}_0(\tilde{M}).$$

Quasimorphisms and extensions

For a compact manifold M , it is natural to investigate $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ by considering lifts of homeomorphisms to the universal cover \tilde{M} .

Indeed, let $G \leq \text{Homeo}(\tilde{M})$ denote the closed group of lifts \tilde{g} of homeomorphisms $g \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Letting $\pi_1(M)$ act by deck transformations on \tilde{M} , we have a short exact sequence

$$\pi_1(M) \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Homeo}_0(\tilde{M}).$$

Let $H \leq G$ denote the path-connected component. Then $H \curvearrowright \pi_1(M)$ is a continuous action by conjugation of a connected group on a discrete group.

Quasimorphisms and extensions

For a compact manifold M , it is natural to investigate $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ by considering lifts of homeomorphisms to the universal cover \tilde{M} .

Indeed, let $G \leq \text{Homeo}(\tilde{M})$ denote the closed group of lifts \tilde{g} of homeomorphisms $g \in \text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Letting $\pi_1(M)$ act by deck transformations on \tilde{M} , we have a short exact sequence

$$\pi_1(M) \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Homeo}_0(\tilde{M}).$$

Let $H \leq G$ denote the path-connected component. Then $H \curvearrowright \pi_1(M)$ is a continuous action by conjugation of a connected group on a discrete group.

Thus, H and $\pi_1(M)$ commute, i.e., $[H, \pi_1(M)] = 1$, and $G = H \cdot \pi_1(M)$.

Letting $A = H \cap \pi_1(M)$, we obtain a central extension of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ by A

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & H & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Homeo}_0(M) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Homeo}_0(M) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \sigma & & & & \\
 & & \pi_1(M)/A & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Letting $A = H \cap \pi_1(M)$, we obtain a central extension of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ by A

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & H & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Homeo}_0(M) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Homeo}_0(M) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \sigma & & & & \\
 & & \pi_1(M)/A & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (w/ K. Mann)

If the quotient map $\pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \pi_1(M)/A$ admits a bornologous section, then so does the quotient map $H \xrightarrow{\pi} H/A = \text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Letting $A = H \cap \pi_1(M)$, we obtain a central extension of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ by A

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & H & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Homeo}_0(M) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Homeo}_0(M) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \sigma & & & & \\
 & & \pi_1(M)/A & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Corollary (w/ K. Mann)

In this case, we have a coarse splitting of G into a direct product

$$G \approx_{\text{coarse}} \pi_1(M)/A \times A \times \text{Homeo}_0(M).$$

Consider the special case, $M = \mathbb{T}^n$, whence $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider the special case, $M = \mathbb{T}^n$, whence $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Here $\pi_1(M) = A = \mathbb{Z}^n$, so the quotient map

$$\pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \pi_1(M)/A = 1$$

is trivial and hence has a bornologous lift.

Consider the special case, $M = \mathbb{T}^n$, whence $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Here $\pi_1(M) = A = \mathbb{Z}^n$, so the quotient map

$$\pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \pi_1(M)/A = 1$$

is trivial and hence has a bornologous lift.

Also, $G = H = \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the group of homeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n commuting with integral translations.

Consider the special case, $M = \mathbb{T}^n$, whence $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Here $\pi_1(M) = A = \mathbb{Z}^n$, so the quotient map

$$\pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \pi_1(M)/A = 1$$

is trivial and hence has a bornologous lift.

Also, $G = H = \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the group of homeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n commuting with integral translations.

So

$$\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx_{\text{coarse}} \mathbb{Z}^n \times \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Consider the special case, $M = \mathbb{T}^n$, whence $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Here $\pi_1(M) = A = \mathbb{Z}^n$, so the quotient map

$$\pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \pi_1(M)/A = 1$$

is trivial and hence has a bornologous lift.

Also, $G = H = \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the group of homeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n commuting with integral translations.

So

$$\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx_{\text{coarse}} \mathbb{Z}^n \times \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Also, since $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T})$ is coarsely bounded,

$$\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) \approx_{\text{coarse}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Rephrasing the above calculation, we show that the quotient map π in the diagram below admits a bornologous lift ϕ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{array} \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow 1$$

Rephrasing the above calculation, we show that the quotient map π in the diagram below admits a bornologous lift ϕ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{array} \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow 1$$

Now, for finitely generated groups, it remains an open problem by S. Gersten whether if a central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow G \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi} \end{array} F \rightarrow 1$$

admits a bornologous lift ϕ as indicated, then ϕ can be chosen to be a **quasi-morphism**.

Rephrasing the above calculation, we show that the quotient map π in the diagram below admits a bornologous lift ϕ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow 1$$

Now, for finitely generated groups, it remains an open problem by S. Gersten whether if a central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow G \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} F \rightarrow 1$$

admits a bornologous lift ϕ as indicated, then ϕ can be chosen to be a **quasi-morphism**.

That is, so that

$$\{\phi(x)\phi(y)\phi(xy)^{-1} \mid x, y \in F\}$$

is a **finite** subset of $\ker \pi = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proposition

For $n \geq 2$, there is no quasimorphism lifting π in the central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow 1.$$

Proposition

For $n \geq 2$, there is no quasimorphism lifting π in the central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow 1.$$

Combined with the existence of a bornologous lift, this provides a counter-example to Gersten's question in the general setting.